Serverless for ML-Serving on Kubernetes: Genius or Folly? Manasi Vartak, Ph.D. Founder and CEO, Verta

@DataCereal <u>manasi@verta.ai</u> <u>www.verta.a</u>



About

VertaAl / modeld	© ₩a	atch 73 🛱 S	tar 1.1k Strok 20
<> Code (!) Issues	73 6 Pull requests 42 Action	ns 凹 Projects	🕮 Wiki 🛛 •••
₽ master ▾	Go to file	🛓 Code 🔹	About
			Open Source ML Mode
evgeniilezhnin VR	-5950 model from torch file (21 hour	rs ago 🕚 2,140	Versioning, Metadata,
evgeniilezhnin VR	-5950 model from torch file (21 hour Refactor s3 client to fix memory leak (#	rs ago 🕚 2,140 2 days ago	Versioning, Metadata, and Experiment Management
			and Experiment
backend	Refactor s3 client to fix memory leak (#	2 days ago	and Experiment Management
backend chart/modeldb	Refactor s3 client to fix memory leak (# Update tags	2 days ago 3 months ago	and Experiment Management machine-learning

https://github.com/VertaAI/modeldb

Open-source ML model management & versioning

Ph.D. thesis at MIT CSAIL

Projects	Projects > Census In	come Classification				
Datasets	Summary Expe	eriments Experiment Run	s Charts Dep	loyed Models	Settings	
A Deployments	Compare				Bulk E) Reset: 🕞 Share: < 🕸
- Filter Runs	Actions	Run Summary 1	Metrics 1	Hyperparan	neters î↓	Artifacts
Drag and drop	Deployed	Run 778711581107746429688 Owner:	val_acc 0.7928	С	0.0001	@ custom_modules
Trag and drop to filter		ManasiVartak		solver	Ibfgs	model pkl
+ Add Filter	(e) (c)	Experiment Name: Logistic Regression		max_iter	28	@ model_api.json
		Timestamp: 02/07/2020. 12:35:46 PM				requirements.txt
(<)		Tags				🕀 train_data 🥪
	Deploy 🗇	Run 778711581107729772981	val_acc 0.7899	С	0.0001	custom_modules
		ManasiVartak		solver	lbfgs	🚱 model.pkl
	(e) (c)	Experiment Name: Logistic Regression		max_iter	15	model_api.json
		Timestamp: 02/07/2020, 12:35:30 PM				@ requirements.txt
		Tags				🐵 train_data 🖌
	Deploy 🗇	Run 778711581107712914078	val_acc 0.7825	С	1e-6	@ custom_modules
⑦ Docs Ø		ManasiVartak		solver	lbfgs	@ model.pkl

https://www.verta.ai/product

End-to-end MLOps platform for ML model delivery, operations and management

Kubernetes-based, operations stack for ML

Verta

Outline

- What is serverless and why is it interesting?
- Unique considerations for ML Serving
- Benchmark
- Key takeaways
- Check out if serverless is appropriate for you

Serverless: what is it and why is it interesting?

Variety of ways to run your code

Higher level Of Abstraction

Lower level of Abstraction Serverless

Kubernetes/Containers

Virtual Machines

Bare Metal



More

Control



Variety of ways to run your code

Bare Metal

Higher level Of Abstraction

Lower level of Abstraction



More

Control





Serverless is, at its most simple, an outsourcing solution.

-- Martin Fowler, https://martinfowler.com/articles/serverless.html

Serverless 101

- Requires no provisioning or management of servers and does not involve a long-running server component
- Developer only writes the code or business logic without having to figure out how to deploy and run it
- Serverless platform takes care of deploying and scaling the application
 - Scale-up and scale down of resources happens on demand
 - Can scale to zero when there is no load
- Also known as function-as-a-service

Popular Serverless systems: AWS Lambda, GCP Cloud Run, etc.

Why serverless?

- Simple to use (developers focus on business logic)
- Simple to scale (more copies of the serverless instance created automatically)
- Low infrastructure maintenance overhead (don't need to manage nodes, perform upgrades, tune resources requirements)
- Potentially cost-effective depending on workload (don't need to provision resources that will not be used)

Popular applications: Async message processing, IOT workloads, stream data processing

Why not serverless?

- Serverless applications are stateless
- Implementation restrictions: limits on execution duration, resources available (e.g., memory, CPU, disk, concurrency)
- Cannot choose/control hardware
- Large latency on cold-start

When does serverless make sense?

- Application is stateless
- Resource requirements are modest
- Performance requirements / SLAs are not stringent
- Cold-start latency is not an issue
- Query workload is not steady
- ▲ Infrastructure maintenance is a large burden

ML Serving

Unique considerations for ML serving

- ML serving: making predictions against a trained model
- ML models can be large
 - E.g., DistilBERT model is 256 MB (compare to few MB of a Python-based non-ML function)
- ML libraries can be large and are varied
 - Libraries often have optimizations that can be enabled based on hardware
- Some ML models may need to be served via GPUs or TPUs

Benchmarks

Benchmark Specification

- Goal: Identify when it makes sense to use serverless for ML Serving
- Systems
 - Serverless SOTA (AWS Lambda)
 - Serverless on Kubernetes with knative (Google Cloud Run)
 - Container-based platform on Kubernetes (Verta)

Systems: Serverless SOTA - AWS Lambda

Managed Serverless Platform

How it works:

- Upload packaged code to S3
- Upload other dependencies to S3
- Trigger lambda via an event or HTTP request
- Platform manages all resources, scaling, and endpoints

Systems: Serverless on k8s - Google Cloud Run

Managed service running containers in serverless fashion on k8s (knative based)

How it works:

- Upload a **Docker container** to GCR
- Trigger Cloud Run via an event or HTTP request
- Platform manages all resources, scaling, and endpoints

Systems: Containers on k8s - Verta

Platform to run models as containers on k8s

How it works:

- Upload model and metadata to Verta platform
- Optionally specify resource and hardware requirements
- Deploy model on Verta
- Verta manages endpoints and scaling of models

Benchmark Specification

• Metrics

- Prediction latency (warm-start)
- Time to first prediction (cold-start)
- Time to scale (autoscaling)
- Usability concerns
- Workloads
 - Variety of models including state-of-the-art NLP, CV, and traditional ML models
 - Varying QPS

Results

Caveats!

- Serverless for k8s is still evolving. These numbers are based on the software and capabilities available today
- For managed services, there are knobs that cannot be controlled by the end user and optimizations performed under the hood
- We use off-the-shelf settings in this benchmark
- This talk covers a subset of the benchmark results, for the full set of results visit: <u>verta.ai/serverless-inference-benchmark</u>

Results: Usability Concerns (Serverless)

Serverless platforms have hard restrictions on resources available

	AWS Lambda	Google Cloud Run
Memory	3 GB	4 GB
Disk	250 + 500 MB	4 GB
CPU	Proportional to memory	4 vCPUs
GPUs	n/a	n/a

Results: Usability Concerns (Serverless)

- If your model or ML library(s) doesn't fit in these constraints, you cannot use the serverless platform
 - Ex.1.
 - Torch + transformer library (HuggingFace) for DistilBERT > 500 MB
 - Need to surgically remove pieces of the libraries or get creative with model loading to even use lambdas
 - Significant wrangling required
 - Ex.2.
 - Embedding + nearest neighbor lookup model > 20 GB
 - Doesn't fit constraints for any of the serverless platforms

Results: Usability Concerns (Serverless)

Configuration options

- ML libraries and lower-level linear algebra libraries have optimizations that can be tuned via environment variables
- However, settings are tied to underlying hardware and serverless platforms are not transparent wrt hardware used
- Additionally, serverless platforms do not allow hardware to be customized

Results: Warm-start Prediction Latency

Model: DistilBERT

	P50 (s)	P95 (s)	P99 (s)
AWS Lambda	0.4885	0.5341	0.5738
Google Cloud Run	0.3848	0.4574	0.4971
Verta	0.2605	0.2809	0.2935

Configuration:

AWS Lambda: Memory=3 GB Google Cloud Run: 2 CPUs, 3 GB Verta: CPU=1.6, Memory=3 GB 1 worker/query

Observations:

Verta (container-based system) has lower latency by 2X. This can be attributed potentially to more control on environment (e.g., Intel vs. AMD processors). Without implementation details of AWS and GCP systems, hard to identify root cause.

Results: Cold-Start Prediction Latency

Model: DistilBERT

	Time to first request (s)
AWS Lambda	41
Google Cloud Run	8.2
Verta	0.7

Configuration:

100 qps, 1 worker/query Steady state: receives 100 responses/sec Time to 1st response: time to first successful response Resource configs same as before

Observations:

Verta (container-based system) always has >=1 model replica running, so time to first request is the lowest.

Verta

Results: Scaling Latency

Model: DistilBERT

	Time to reach steady state (s)
AWS Lambda	79
Google Cloud Run	33
Verta	105 (pods only) 315 (pods+nodes)

Configuration:

Observations:

100 qps, 1 worker/query Steady state: receives 100 responses/sec Resource configs same as before Auto-scaling is faster on serverless systems.

Results: Varying model size

	DistilBERT - P95 Latency	BERT - P95 Latency	DistilBERT - Time to 1st req	BERT - Time to 1st req
AWS Lambda	0.5341	0.9878	41.0138	41.1018
Google Cloud Run	0.4574	0.7616	8.2	15.8
Verta	0.2809	0.4887	0.3043	0.8179

Models:

DistillBERT: 254MB BERT: 416 MB

Observations:

Running a larger model increases latency across the systems. Cold-start metrics show some degradation.

Note on Cost

- Pure infra costs may be comparable or even higher than a non-serverless system
- Tied to exact workload and resources used (e.g., bursty, seasonal, continuous and steady).
- However, TCO is usually lower due to lower development and maintenance costs

Takeaways

Takeaways

- Serverless solutions have hard limits on resources. If your model doesn't fit into those constraints, serverless is not a good fit
- Ability to configure hardware can lead to better performance for non-serverless systems
- Scaling with serverless platforms is faster than vanilla autoscaling in k8s
- Query pattern and workload will affect costs of running ML-inference in serverless fashion vs. w/servers

Check out <u>verta.ai/serverless-inference-benchmark</u> to learn how to run the benchmark!

Thank you.

Is serverless right for your ML workload? Check out <u>verta.ai/serverless-inference-benchmark</u>

Reach out at <u>manasi@verta.ai</u> or @DataCereal with questions.

Verta