



KubeCon

CloudNativeCon

Europe 2020

Virtual

Startup Containers in Lightning Speed with Lazy Image Distribution Kohei Tokunaga, NTT Corporation





- Pull is one of the time-consuming steps in container lifecycle
- Stargz Snapshotter, non-core subproject of containerd, is trying to solve it by lazy-pulling images leveraging stargz image by Google
 - Further runtime optimization is also held with an extended version of stargz (eStargz)



• There are also other OCI-alternative image distribution strategies in container ecosystem

Pull is time-consuming



pulling packages accounts for 76% of container start time, but only 6.4% of that data is read [Harter et al. 2016]

[Harter et al. 2016] Tyler Harter, Brandon Salmon, Rose Liu, Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau, Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau. "Slacker: Fast Distribution with Lazy Docker Containers". 14th USENIX Conference on File and Storage Technologies (FAST '16). February 22–25, 2016, Santa Clara, CA, USA



OCI/Docker Specs for image distribution

A container is a set of *layers*



Image Spec

- Defines layers and metadata (image manifest, etc.)
- Layer is defined as tar (+compression)
- Rootfs can be composed by merging layers



Europe 2020

Distribution Spec

- Defines HTTP API of registry
- Layer can be fetched as a "blob" named with a content-addressable digest
- Optional support for HTTP Range Request

Problems on the OCI/Docker Specs



A container can't be started until the all layers become locally available even if the most of the contents won't be used on container startup



- Need to scan the entire blob even for extracting single file entry
 - If the blob is gzip-compressed, it's non-seekable anymore
- No parallel extraction
 - Need to scan the blob from the top, sequentially

Lazypull with containerd Stargz Snapshotter



Furone 2020

Stargz Snapshotter

- container 🖸
- Non-core subproject of containerd
 - Works as a plugin of containerd
 - Standard-compliant lazy pull leveraging stargz image by Google



doesn't download the entire image on pull operation but fetches necessary chunks of contents on-demand

Standard-compliant lazypull



- Leverages OCI/Docker compatibility of stargz:
 - can be lazily pulled from standard registries
 - can also be run by legacy runtimes (but not lazily pulled)
- Mounts rootfs snapshots as FUSE and downloads accessed file contents on-demand



Stargz archive format



- Proposed by Google CRFS project: <u>https://github.com/google/crfs</u>
- Stands for *Seekable targz* so it's seekable but still valid targz = usable as a valid OCI/Docker image layer
- Entries can be extracted separately
 - Can be fetched separately from registries using HTTP Range Request



non-seekable

needs to scan the entire blob even for getting single entry

eStargz archive for prefetch

- NW-related overheads can't be ignored for on-demand fetching with stargz
- **eStargz** enables to **prefetch** files that are llikey accessed during runtime (= **prioritized** files)
- Filesystem prefetches and pre-caches these files with a single HTTP Range Request on mount



Europe 2020

Workload-based runtime optimization with eStargz

- Leveraging eStargz, CLI converter command provides workload-based optimization
- Generally, containers are built with purpose
 - Workloads are defined in the Dockerfile, etc. (entrypoint, user, envvar, etc...) and stored in the image
- CLI converter runs provided image in a sandbox and profiles all file accesses
 - Regards accessed files are also likely accessed during runtime (= **prioritized** files in eStargz)
 - Stargz Snapshotter will prefetch and pre-caches these files when mounts this eStargz image



Benchmarking results



- Measures the container startup time which includes:
 - Pulling an image from Docker Hub
 - For language containers, running "print hello world" program in the container
 - For server containers, waiting for the readiness (until "up and running" message is printed)
 - > This method is based on Hello Bench [Harter, et al. 2016]
- Takes 95 percentile of 100 operations
- Host: EC2 Oregon (m5.2xlarge, Ubuntu 20.04)
- Registry: Docker Hub (docker.io)
- Target commit: b53e8fe8d37751753bc623b037729b6a6d9c1122

[Harter et al. 2016] Tyler Harter, Brandon Salmon, Rose Liu, Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau, Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau. "Slacker: Fast Distribution with Lazy Docker Containers". 14th USENIX Conference on File and Storage Technologies (FAST '16). February 22–25, 2016, Santa Clara, CA, USA

Credit to Akihiro Suda (NTT) for discussion and experiment environment

Time to take for container startup

python:3.7 (print "hello")



Waits for prefetch completion

Credit to Akihiro Suda (NTT) for discussion and experiment environment

Time to take for container startup KubeCon CloudNativeCon

gcc:9.2.0 (compiles and runs printf("hello");)



Credit to Akihiro Suda (NTT) for discussion and experiment environment

glassfish:4.1-jdk8 (runs until "Running GlassFish" is printed)



Credit to Akihiro Suda (NTT) for discussion and experiment environment

 \sim

Expected use-cases

Speeding up base image distribution on image build

- Especially for temporary base images of "dev" stages in multi-stage build
 - won't be included in the result image
 - https://github.com/moby/buildkit/pull/1402

Speeding up dev pipeline (or building/testing environment)

- The initial motivation in Go community to invent stargz was to speed up the builder image distribution in their build system
 - <u>https://github.com/golang/go/issues/30829</u>

Sharing large scientific software stack (e.g. ML frameworks)

• For example, ML frameworks tend to be large (> 1GB)

Improving cold start performance (e.g. Serverless)

- But needs more investigation
 - <u>https://github.com/knative/serving/issues/5913</u>

Stargz Snapshotter is still in early stage

- Needs more performance improvements for the filesystem
- Lazy pull performance seems to be affected by the internet condition (e.g. CDN), etc.
- Be careful for the fault tolerance until the layer contents are fully cached
- > ..

Feedbacks/comments are always welcome!

Slacker: https://www.usenix.org/conference/fast16/technical-sessions/presentation/harter

- Uses NFS infra for the distribution of rootfs snapshots of containers
- Registries are used for sharing snapshot IDs among hosts

CernVM-FS: https://cvmfs.readthedocs.io/en/stable/

- FUSE Filesystem by CERN for sharing High Energy Physics (HEP) software on worldwide infrastructure
- Software stack can be mounted and lazily downloaded from CernVM-FS "repository" via HTTP
- Remote Snapshotter implementation for containerd
 - <u>https://github.com/cvmfs/containerd-remote-snapshotter</u>
- On-going discussion towards integration with Podman
 - <u>https://github.com/containers/storage/issues/383</u>

Other OCI-alternative lazy image distribution

Filegrain: https://github.com/akihirosuda/filegrain

- Proposed by Akihiro Suda (NTT)
- OCI compliant image format but uses continuity manifests as layers
- An image can be mounted and files are pulled lazily
- Each file is treated as a content-addressable blob => de-duplication in file granuality

On-going discussion towards "OCIv2": <u>https://hackmd.io/@cyphar/ociv2-brainstorm</u>

- Proposed by Aleksa Sarai (SUSE)
- Brainstorm is in progress (2020/07)
- Lazy fetch support, mountable filesystem are also in the scope

crfs-plugin for fuse-overlayfs: https://github.com/giuseppe/crfs-plugin

- Proposed by Giuseppe Scrivano (Red Hat)
- Plugin of fuse-overlayfs for mounting stargz layer





- Pull is one of the time-consuming steps in the container lifecycle.
- Stargz Snapshotter, non-core subproject in containerd, is trying to solve it by lazy-pulling images leveraging stargz image by Google.
 - Standard compliant so can be pushed to and lazily pulled from standard registries
 - Workload-based runtime optimization is also held with eStargz
- There are also other OCI-alternative image distribution strategies in container ecosystem

Feedbacks and suggestions are always welcome! https://github.com/containerd/stargz-snapshotter

