Walls Within Walls:

What if your attacker knows parkour?



KubeCon CloudNativeCon

North America 2019



Greg Castle

GKE Security Tech Lead Twitter: @mrgcastle Github: @destijl Google

Tim Allclair

GKE Security Engineer Twitter: @tallclair Github: @tallclair Google







A Tale of Two Containers



A Niche Webhosting Company

"Webhosting for parkour gyms"



A Tale of Two Containers



Prod payments processing Customer website for "maximum-uptime" parkour gym

Do Nothing

Sensitive containers scheduled next to untrusted workloads.



Threat Model

Expect low security system to be compromised and escape container.



Are container breakouts a thing?

- Yes, see runc CVE-2019-5736
- Bugs are inevitable
- Not enough to separate untrusted workloads from high value workloads

App-Specific Hardening?

Seccomp, app-armor, selinux:

- Difficult to learn and maintain
- Hard to fully exercise applications in test
- Customer website needs may vary
- Beaten by Dirty COW-like vulnerability (CVE-2016-5195)

Separate Nodes

Payments on different nodes to customer workloads

Non-security benefits:

- Separate failure domains
- Resource isolation (disk iops, network)





But is it good enough?

We'll focus here for the rest of the talk.

Assume container escape has happened.

Node isolation setup

Node Isolation: Overview

Configuration:

labels

taints

De-privilege kubelet:

node authorizer

node restriction

Node setup

Label: target payments pods for payments nodes

kubectl label nodes \$NODES class=payments

Taint: repel non-payments workloads kubectl taint nodes \$NODES \
class=payments:NoSchedule

Pod Labels

Pod targets label with nodeSelector

l **only** run on payments nodes spec: nodeSelector: class: payments

Pod tolerations

l **can tolerate** the payments taint

spec:

tolerations:

- key: class
operator: "Equal"
value: "payments"

Node Authorizer

Limit kubelet to least privilege, e.g:

write node, pod objects

read secrets for pods on the node



NodeRestriction Admission

More fine-grained control over kubelet **mutating** operations



Node Isolation: Full Picture

Configuration:

labels: target payments pods to payments nodes

taints: keep non-payments workloads off payments nodes

De-privilege kubelet:

node authorizer

node restriction

Workload steering attack

Workload Steering Attack

Current setup only allows nodes with payments to access

API payments server secret Node **Authorizer** Node Node kubelet kubelet maximum-Payments uptime

Goal: access secret

Workload Steering Attack

- 1. Modify node
- 2. Kill real payments pod
- 3. Get payments scheduled on our node



1: Modify Node

1. Modify node

- a. Remove customer taint
- b. Add payments label
- 2. Kill real payments pod
- 3. Get payments scheduled on our node

Demo

Compromised node: modify node

Node is ready for payments

Stop here and hope payments gets scheduled on us?

...we can do better

Node

- taint "customer=maximum-uptime:NoSchedule"
- + label "class=payments"

2: Kill Payments

- ✓ Modify node
 - a. Remove customer taint
 - b. Add payments label

2. Kill real payments pod

- a. Create fake payments static pod
- b. Make fake pod older
- c. Put fake pod in ReplicaSet
- d. Have ReplicaSet kill the newest
- 3. Get payments scheduled on our node

Create fake payments

Kubelet not allowed to create regular pods

Can create static (kubelet managed) pods

These are "mirrored" as pods in the API



Abuse ReplicaSet

ReplicaSet: keep one copy of payments running



Abuse ReplicaSet

ReplicaSet controller: Too many copies!

Kill one



Abuse ReplicaSet

ReplicaSet kills the newest pod

...make our fake payments pod older

ReplicaSet	
Pod 2019-11-19 Payments	Pod 2018-11-19 Fake Payments

3: Get Payments Scheduled

- ✓ Modify node
 - a. Remove customer taint
 - b. Add payments label
- ✓ Kill real payments pod
 - c. Create fake payments static pod
 - d. Make fake pod older
 - e. Put fake pod in ReplicaSet
 - f. Have ReplicaSet kill the newest

3. Get payments scheduled on our node

- a. Delete fake pod
- b. ReplicaSet puts real pod on our node



Kill payments pod and get secret

What happened?

- 1. Modify node
- 2. Kill real payments pod
- 3. Get payments scheduled on our node
- 4. Get secret



Building up the walls

v1.11 Nodes cannot update or remove taints.

Labels with the restricted prefix can no longer be added or modified by nodes. (*.)node-restriction.kubernetes.io/*

v1.13 The node authorizer no longer allows nodes to delete themselves.

More on the way:

Extended NodeRestrictions for Pods: <u>https://bit.ly/2XdeWOF</u>

Bounding Self-Labeling Kubelets: <u>https://bit.ly/351BaFN</u>







Nodes	Pods	

	Nodes	Pods
Authorization	Union of all the permissions of everything on the node	Only what is needed by containers in the pod

	Nodes	Pods
Authorization	Union of all the permissions of everything on the node	Only what is needed by containers in the pod
Network Access	Union of all network access required by the node	Can be restricted per-application with NetworkPolicy, Istio, etc.

	Nodes	Pods
Authorization	Union of all the permissions of everything on the node	Only what is needed by containers in the pod
Network Access	Union of all network access required by the node	Can be restricted per-application with NetworkPolicy, Istio, etc.
Monitoring	Measurements are made from within the node	Measurements may be made from outside the pod

	Nodes	Pods
Authorization	Union of all the permissions of everything on the node	Only what is needed by containers in the pod
Network Access	Union of all network access required by the node	Can be restricted per-application with NetworkPolicy, Istio, etc.
Monitoring	Measurements are made from within the node	Measurements may be made from outside the pod
Resource Usage	Strong isolation, depending on underlying infrastructure	Some isolation through cgroups, subject to noisy neighbors

Sandboxes



Sandboxes



Sandboxes

User-space kernel with gVisor

- <u>https://g.co/gke/sandbox</u>
- <u>https://gvisor.dev</u>

Per-pod VM with Kata-Containers

≻ <u>katacontainers.io</u>

apiVersion: node.k8s.io/v1beta1
kind: RuntimeClass
metadata:
 name: gvisor
handler: gvisor

apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
metadata:
 name: mypod
spec:
 runtimeClassName: gvisor

Takeaways





Node Isolation Isn't Your Only Defense



Compromise Application

> Remote Code Execution

Escape Container

And Escalate to Root Escape Node

Attack Cluster

What can you do?



Harden the application:

- 1. Patch, patch, and patch some more!
- 2. Choose a minimal base image <u>https://bit.ly/37eTPzT</u>
- 3. Apply application specific hardening

What can you do?



Harden the container:

- 1. Run as non root! <u>https://bit.ly/2qpUNJ7</u>
- 2. Use resource limits <u>https://bit.ly/37k48Tx</u>
- 3. Use least privilege authorization <u>https://bit.ly/2CV1INd</u>
- 4. Restrict network access <u>https://bit.ly/37cL9dv</u>

What can you do?



Sandbox the pod:

- GKE Sandboxes with gVisor <u>g.co/gke/sandbox</u>
- Per-pod VM with Kata-Containers katacontainers.io

Key Takeaways

1. Nodes are really complicated! There are many known weaknesses in node isolation.

2. Node isolation shouldn't be your only defense.

3. Look at pod isolation and sandboxing for strong isolation.

Links and references

Node Authorizer: https://bit.ly/33XRIPb Node Restriction: https://bit.ly/2QkRqhk Kubelet Static Pods: https://bit.ly/2Qj0DGL Extended NodeRestrictions for Pods: https://bit.ly/2XdeWOF Bounding Self-Labeling Kubelets: <u>https://bit.ly/351BaFN</u> ReplicaSet deletion logic: https://bit.ly/2NQTL10 Run as non-root using security context https://bit.ly/2qpUNJ7 Minimal base images: <u>https://bit.ly/37eTPzT</u> Resource limits: https://bit.ly/37k48Tx Least privilege: https://bit.ly/2CV1INd GKE hardening guide: g.co/gke/hardening GKE sandboxes: g.co/gke/sandbox Kata containers: katacontainers.io



Greg Castle

GKE Security Tech Lead Twitter: @mrgcastle Github: @destijl Google



Tim Allclair

GKE Security Engineer Twitter: @tallclair Github: @tallclair Google

So Many Great Security Talks!

State of Kubernetes Security https://bit.ly/20dqgWC

CJ Cullen & Tim Allclair: Mon 11:00am

"The Devil in the Details: Kubernetes' First Security Assessment" https://bit.ly/34VkAr2

Aaron Small, Google & Jay Beale: Tue 10:55am

Walls Within Walls: What If Your Attacker Knows Parkour?" https://bit.ly/33PZiLl

Greg Castle and Tim Allclair: Tue 3:20pm

"Binary Authorization in Kubernetes" https://bit.ly/32L2yqj

Aysylu Greenberg & Liron Levin: Wed 10:55am

"Piloting Around the Rocks: Avoiding Threats in Kubernetes" https://bit.ly/36XLAbc

Robert Tonic and Stefan Edwards : Wed 2:25pm

"Hello from the Other Side: Dispatches from a Kubernetes Attacker" <u>https://bit.ly/2NBpe7Y</u>

Ian Coldwater : Thur 9:22 am

"How Kubernetes Components Communicate Securely in Your Cluster" <u>https://bit.ly/2QrlzKP</u>

Maya Kaczorowski: Thur 11:50am

"Sig-Auth Update" https://bit.ly/2Kk7kEQ

Mike Danese, Tim Allclair, Mo Khan: Thur 2:25pm

"Attacking and Defending Kubernetes Clusters: A Guided Tour" https://bit.ly/36Xb0G0

Brad Geesaman, Jimmy Mesta, Tabitha Sable, Peter Benjamin : Thur 4:25pm