Extending **Kubernetes IBM Developer** LoadBalancer Using CRDs

Wei Huang (IBM, wei.huang1@ibm.com)

Srini Brahmaroutu (IBM, srbrahma@us.ibm.com)



• sig-scheduling



- sig-testing
- sig-storage

Agenda

- How to Expose Kubernetes Workloads Externally
- Background / Motivations
- Shared LoadBalancer
- Demos
- Design / Implementation Details

How to Expose Kubernetes Workloads Externally

Kubernetes Basics - NodePort Service

NodePort

- Worker nodes needs to have a public/external IP
- Ports opened on <u>all</u> worker nodes
- Ports range from 30000 to 32767



Kubernetes Basics - LoadBalancer Service

LoadBalancer

- EKS (Amazon)
- IKS (IBM)
- GKE (Google)
- AKS (Azure)

• ...



Kubernetes Basics - Ingress



Ways to Expose K8s Apps Externally

Shared **Service** - for both L4/L7 traffic Type NodePort Ingress - with help of Type LoadBalancer Nginx/Envoy/... **Ingress** - for L7 traffic L7 Shared via ingress controller Service - NodePort - LoadBalancer

Something missing here L4 Service - NodePort - LoadBalancer Dedicated

Background / Motivations

Background

An internal business requirement from an internal team.

- Two JDBC services (TCP)
- One data transferring service (UDP)
- One web console service (HTTP)



Expected Goal

⇒ k create -f	crs			
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp1	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp2	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp3	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp4	created	
wei.huang1@wei-	-mbp:~/gospace/si	rc/gith	ub.com/Huang	g-Wei/shared-loadbalancer
⇒ k get slb				
NAME	EXTERNAL-IP	PORT	PROTOCOL	REF
sharedlb-tcp1	169.62.88.170	4001	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp2	169.62.88.170	4002	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp3	169.62.88.170	4003	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp4	169.62.88.170	4004	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he

Expected Goal (cont.)

k create -f crs

sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp1	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp2	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp3	created	
sharedlb.kubeco	on.k8s.io/shared	lb-tcp4	created	
wei.huang1@wei ⇒ k get slb	-mbp:~/gospace/s	rc/gith	ub.com/Huan	g-Wei/shared-loadbalancer
NAME	EXTERNAL-IP	PORT	PROTOCOL	REF
sharedlb-tcp1	169.62.88.170	4001	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp2	169.62.88.170	4002	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp3	169.62.88.170	4003	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he
sharedlb-tcp4	169.62.88.170	4004	TCP	default/lb-z5lrv7he



Motivations

- Cost effective
- User friendly
- Minimum operation efforts
- Reusing existing Kubernetes assets (don't reinvent wheel)
- Consistent with Kubernetes roadmap

Shared LoadBalancer (SLB)

Problem Analysis

- How to open additional ports (and firewall rules) on the "Shared" LoadBalancer
- 2. How to **associate** the ports with backing pods
- 3. How to give **accessing info** back to end-user

Shared LoadBalancer Internals



Demos

Design / Implementation Details

Design Considerations

- 1. Using CRD as the facade to end-user, instead of Service with annotation.
- 2. Namespaced CRD vs. Clustered CRD.
- 3. Create real LB on demand, or prepare placeholder LBs in a pool.
- 4. Make "N" configurable (how many requests one LB can share with)
- 5. Adopt best practices of CRD controller controllerRef, finalizers, etc.
- 6. 1 controller goroutine(worker) for the reconcile loop

Summary

	EKS (Amazon)	IKS (IBM)	GKE (Google)	AKS (Azure)
Core Extension Solution	NodePort Service	Cluster Service with "externalIP"	NodePort Service	NodePort Service
SDK Authentication	aws_access_key_id aws_secret_access_ key	APIKEY (only needed when adding portable ip quota)	oauth2 (gcloud auth application-default login)	Service principle and Role (az ad sp create-for-rbac)
Forward Rule Firewall Rule	Use SDK to operate	Auto Managed	Use SDK to operate	Use SDK to operate
Accessing method	<hostname>:<port></port></hostname>	<ip>:<port></port></ip>	<ip>:<port></port></ip>	<ip>:<port></port></ip>
Limitations	UDP not supported Latest version is 1.10	N/A	Random incoming port not supported* Ephemeral IP => Static IP	N/A

Thinking in Kubernetes Way

- 1. Abstraction/Orchestration problem
 - a. LoadBalancer <-> Pause Container
 - b. {CR Obj, Internal Service} pair <-> Regular Container
- 2. Scheduling problem
 - a. Ports
 - b. Resource Requests/Limits
 - c. LeastRequested vs. MostRequested
 - d. {Anti}-Affinity, Topology Aware
- 3. Avoid reinventing wheels
 - a. Essense of Service on different types
 - b. Understand controller loop and CRD design rational

Thanks!

Q&A

- <u>github.com/Huang-Wei/shared-loadbalancer</u>
- Github: @Huang-Wei / @brahmaroutu
- Slack: @Huang-Wei / @srbrahma
- Twitter: @hweicdl / @brahmaroutu

Some Code Snippets

func (r *ReconcileSharedLB) Reconcile(request reconcile.Request) (reconcile.Result, error) {

```
// ReconcileSharedLB reconciles a SharedLB object
type ReconcileSharedLB struct {
    client.Client
    scheme *runtime.Scheme
```

provider providers.LBProvider

pendingQ *pendingQ

// LBProvider defines methods that a loadbalancer provider should implement
type LBProvider interface {
 NewService(sharedLB *kubeconv1alpha1.SharedLB) *corev1.Service
 NewLBService() *corev1.Service
 GetAvailabelLB(clusterSvc *corev1.Service) *corev1.Service
 AssociateLB(cr, lb types.NamespacedName, clusterSvc *corev1.Service) error
 DeassociateLB(cr types.NamespacedName, clusterSvc *corev1.Service) error
 UpdateCache(key types.NamespacedName, val *corev1.Service)
 GetCapacityPerLB() int
 UpdateService(svc, lb *corev1.Service) (portUpdated, externalIPUpdated bool)

Backup: CRD Practices

- 1. Use CRD built-in features
 - a. validation
 - b. shortNames
 - c. additionalPrinterColumns
 - d. controllerRef
 - e. finalizers
- 2. CRD controller
 - a. kubebuilder
 - b. internal cache
 - c. reconcile upon IndexKey (namespace/name) of a changed object

Changes in User's View

Before/Input: <u>N</u> service yamls (with type LoadBalancer, and src/dst port info)
Before/Output: <u>N</u> publicly accessible <u>{ip/hostname, port}</u> pairs

After/Input: <u>N</u> custom resource yamls (w/ or w/o src port info)
After/Output: <u>1</u> publicly accessible <u>{ip/hostname, (random) port}</u> pair (N is configurable)

Future Considerations

- 1. Get feedback (sig-cloudprovider, sig-network, interested users)
- 2. Support on more cloudproviders or even baremetal (e.g. metal-lb)
- 3. More testings and CI