OpenTracing Isn't just Tracing: Measure Twice, Instrument Once

Ted Young, OpenTracing + LightStep

March 29, 2017 Kubecon Berlin

Part I: Why Care About Tracing?

Microservices: 1 story, N storytellers

Microservices are here to stay: decoupled eng teams, CI, CD, etc

... but they break legacy monitoring tools: great monitoring *tells stories* about your system. Process-scoped monitoring can never do that.



How do you "tell stories" about a modern architecture?

Distributed Tracing: consider all requests from all services, then connect the dots



Great... So why isn't tracing ubiquitous?

Tracing instrumentation has been too hard.

Lock-in is unacceptable: instrumentation must be decoupled from vendors

Monkey patching insufficient: instrumentation is by humans, for humans

Inconsistent APIs: tracing semantics must not be language-dependent

Handoff woes: tracing libs in *Project X* don't hand-off to tracing libs in *Project Y*





OpenTracing in a nutshell

OpenTracing addresses the instrumentation problem.

- Open and standardized API under the CNCF.
- Useful for a wide variety of instrumentation.
- Separates what you choose to instrument from what you choose to collect.
- Especially good for instrumenting OSS libraries and frameworks.



A young, fast-growing project

One year old! Announced v1.0 spec in August 2016

Tracer Implementations: Zipkin, Uber's "Jaeger" Zipkin sibling, Hawkular, Appdash, LightStep, and a few smaller tracing systems

🖸 Joyent

(:) twilio

Some Companies using OpenTracing:

docker

Bloomberg

Opentracing Architecture



Spans - Basic unit of timing and causality. Can be **tagged** with key/value pairs.

Logs - Structured data recorded on a span.

Span Context - serializable format for linking spans across network boundaries. Carries **baggage**, such as a request and client IDs.

Tracers - Anything that plugs into the OpenTracing API to record information. ZipKin, LightStep, and Jaeger. But also metrics (Prometheus) and logging.

Uses for OpenTracing

Logging - Easy to output to any logging tool, even from OSS components.

Metrics/Alerting - Measure based on tags, span timing, log data.

Context Propagation - Use baggage to carry request and user ID's, etc.

Critical Path Analysis - Drill down into request latency in very high fidelity.

System Topology Analysis - Identify bottlenecks due to shared resources.



Replaces Traditional Instrumentation





iOS	JavaScript	Android	

Proxies, Load Balancers, and Gateways



Part III: Prometheus Example

Imagine a world ... with faster access to donuts





Simple Prometheus Integration

```
type PrometheusTracer struct {
   component string
   Latency *prometheus.SummaryVec
   ErrorCount *prometheus.CounterVec
}
```

func (t *PrometheusTracer) RecordSpan(span basictracer.RawSpan) {

OPENTRACING

```
t.Latency
.WithLabelValues(span.Operation)
.Observe(float64(span.Duration))
if _, found := span.Tags["error"]; found {
   t.ErrorCount
   .WithLabelValues(t.component)
   .Inc()
}
```

Help us instrument the world

- Network Libraries and service clients
- Frameworks and runtimes
- OpenTracing multiplexers
- An OpenTracing \rightarrow Prometheus bridge
- Kubernetes + OpenTracing
- OpenTracing specification itself
- Gitter: gitter.im/opentracing/public
- Github: github.com/opentracing





Distributed Tracing Salon 2017



Free Donuts. Thursday, 2:00 pm—3:20 pm. Room AO8 *also, Tracing 101 (interactive), Tracing Group Therapy, Tracing + k8s, and more!

Thanks / Q&A

... and please be in touch

Ted Young ted@lightstep.com / @tedsuooo

@opentracing

(Appendix Slides)

Duration: 575	278ms Services: 4 Dep	pth: 3 Total Spans: (5			JSON
donut-fryer x1 don	ut-mixer x1 donut-topper x1 donut-wel	oserver x2				
Services		115.056ms	230.111ms	345.167ms	460.222ms	575
 donut-webserver 	575.278ms : background_donut			•	•	
- donut-webserver	575.253ms : make_donut		•	•	•	
donut-mixer	040.237ms : mix_batter					
donut-fryer	• O413.795ms : fry_do	nut ·		•	•	
donut-topper					O121.153ms : sprinkle_topp	ing: cinnamon

🔴 🗧 🗲 LightStep	×				ligt	ntstep
\leftrightarrow \rightarrow C () app.lightstep.com/dev	/_bhs/trace?span_guid	d=4d8e7057be921bbe&at_	micros=1478627202624611#spa	an-4d8e7057be921bbe	@ 🛠 🕐 🐼 🚳	:
LightStep Latest Traces	Dashboards 👻	Project Settings			dev_bhs 👻 bhs@lightstep.com 🕚	
get_donuts						
Start time: Today at 9:46 A	M					
Expand all spans						
		Oms	1000ms	2000ms	3000ms	
e get_donuts donut-browser					3.1s <i>(p59.2)</i>	
☐ make_donut donut-webserver					1.68s <i>(p99.7)</i>	
mix_batter donut-mixer				63.3ms		
fry_donut donut-fryer				•	• 1.51s	
sprinkle_topping: cho donut-topper	ocolate				0 108ms	

e e kightStep ×						
 ← → C () app.lightstep.com/dev_bhs/trace?span_guid=4d8e704 donut-webserver mix_batter donut-mixer fry_donut donut-fryer Span Operation: fry_donut Duration: 1.51s Tracer Component: donut-fryer Platform: go go1.6.2 Library: v0.9.1 	57be921bbe&at_micros=1478627202624611#span-4d8e7057be921bbe 63.3ms Fags parent_span_guid: 4d8e7057be921bbe Logs +0s Waiting for lock behind 5 transactions payload ["glazed (daemon-donuts)", "cinnamon (client 4390)", "cinnamon (client 4390)", "cinnamon (client 4390)", "cinnamon (client 4390)", "cinnamon (client 4390)",	 Q ☆ 1.51s 				
sprinkle_topping: chocolate	+1.13s Acquired lock with 1 transactions waiting behind +1.13s starting to fry: cinnamon (client 4390)	108ms				

Concurrency in Pictures

"The Simple [Inefficient] Thing"



Basic Concurrency



Async Concurrency



Distributed Concurrency





The OpenTracing data model

Tracer	Span	SpanContext	
Typically one per process	Start and Finish timestamps	TL;DR: the "nodes" in the DAG	
StartSpan(): where every Span begins	Zero or more key:value "tags" (usually for filtering and/or aggregation) Zero or more timestamped key:value logs (usually for, well. logging)	Read-only access to Baggage Mostly opaque; this is where	
0 or more "References" (e.g., parents), identified via SpanContexts		implementations store span_id, etc (No timestamps!)	
"carrier" propagators	Set/Get Baggage(*)		
Extracting SpanContexts from "carrier" propagators	Get SpanContext		



IPC propagation <u>without</u> tight coupling!

Instrumentation: Wrap an IPC data structure with an OpenTracing "carrier"

carrier := opentracing.HTTPHeadersCarrier(httpReq.Header)

Instrumentation: Pass a SpanContext and the carrier to Inject()

tracer.Inject(currentSpan.context(), opentracing.HTTPHeaders, carrier)

Tracer Impl: Confirm the type of the SpanContext

zipkinSpanContext, ok := (ZipkinSpanContext)SpanContext

Tracer Impl: Use the Inject() format to determine how to encode data in the carrier

```
if format == opentracing.HTTPHeaders {
    carrier.Put("X-B3-TraceId", zipkinSpanContext.HexTraceId())
    ... etc ...
}
```

OPENTRACING

Pick your battles

OpenTracing scope

	Standard instrumentation APIs for			Standard encoding formats for	
Benefit / Feature enabled by standardization	(1) span management	(2) inter-process propagation	(3) active span management	(4) in-band context encoding	(5) out-of-band trace data
Tracing API consistency across platforms	Required	Required	Helpful	N/A	N/A
Keep instrumentation deps small for OSS projects	Required	Required	N/A	N/A	N/A
Avoid lock-in: easily switch all services from tracing vendor A to tracing vendor B	Required	Required	Helpful	N/A	Helpful



More about Baggage (see the PivotTracing paper)



OpenTracing architecture

