# Signaling

EC 350: Labor Economics

Kyle Raze

Winter 2022

# Signaling

**Q:** Why do college graduates earn more than high-school graduates?

- They learn new skills that increase their productivity?
- They separate themselves from people who couldn't make it through college?
  - Getting through may correlate with productive attributes.

#### **Asymmetric information**

One side of a market often has less information than the other.

- In the labor market, employers often have to "take a chance" on new employees—they don't know exactly who is a good fit for a job.
- To separate those who would be a good fit for the job from those who wouldn't, employers can 1) rely on **signaling** by potential employees or 2) employ a **screening** test.

## Education as a signal of ability

The **Spence model**<sup>†</sup> posits that education can help higher-ability workers separate themselves from lower-ability workers when employers cannot directly observe ability.

 In contrast to models of human capital, the Spence model assumes that education has no impact on productivity.

#### The players?

- 1. High-ability workers
- 2. Low-ability workers
- 3. Employer
  - Willing to pay a premium for high-ability workers over low-ability workers.
  - But...unable to observe worker types.

<sup>\*</sup> Named after the economist Michael Spence, who developed the model in Job Market Signaling, The Quarterly Journal of Economics (1973).

## Education as a signal of ability

The **Spence model**<sup>†</sup> posits that education can help higher-ability workers separate themselves from lower-ability workers when employers cannot directly observe ability.

• In contrast to models of human capital, the Spence model assumes that **education has no impact on productivity**.

#### And their objectives?

- 1. High-ability workers want to separate themselves from low-ability workers.
- 2. Low-ability workers **want to pool** with high-ability workers.
- 3. Employer wants to identify worker types.

<sup>\*</sup> Named after the economist Michael Spence, who developed the model in Job Market Signaling, The Quarterly Journal of Economics (1973).

#### Setup

If the employer could observe types, then they would pay a salary that corresponds to each individual's present value of lifetime productivity.

Worker type	Proportion of population	Present value of lifetime productivity
Low-ability	q	\$250,000
High-ability	1 - q	\$350,000

Workers know their ability, but the employer doesn't! --> asymmetric information!

#### **Pooling equilibrium**

With asymmetric information, **the employer treats all workers the same**, paying an identical salary that averages the lifetime productivity of both groups:

$$egin{aligned} ext{Salary} &= 250,\!000 imes q + 350,\!000 imes (1-q) \ &= 350,\!000 - 100,\!000 imes q \end{aligned}$$

- Low-ability workers are overpaid and high-ability workers are underpaid.
- The employer also suffers because workers are not necessarily assigned to the appropriate job.

**Q:** Why can't a high-ability worker just tell the employer that they are highly-able?

#### A: Because talk is cheap!

A low-ability worker can just as easily claim that they are highly-able!

### **Ability signaling**

High-ability workers have an incentive to provide a credible signal of their ability to employers.

• If employers learn their type, then they get a higher wage.

Likewise, employers have an incentive to extract signals that separate workers by ability.

Knowing worker types allows employers to avoid mismatches between workers and jobs.

**Q:** When is a signal *credible*?

**A:** When it is sufficiently **costly!** 

- Costly enough to discourage low-ability workers from pursuing.
- Cheap enough for high-ability workers to willingly pursue.

#### **Separating equilibrium**

Employers can choose a level of education  $\bar{e}$  that separates low-ability types from high-ability types.

- Anyone with less education than  $\bar{e} \longrightarrow low-ability$ .
- Anyone with  $\bar{e}$  or more education  $\longrightarrow$  high-ability.

The existence of a separating equilibrium requires that education is more costly for a low-ability worker than for a high-ability worker.

- Both types face the same tuition rates, book prices, etc.
- But low-ability types pay more in extra tutoring, re-taking failed classes, additional stress, etc.

Assume that low-ability workers pay \$30,000 per year and high-ability workers pay \$20,000 per year.

#### Separating equilibrium

Employers can choose a level of education  $\bar{e}$  that separates low-ability types from high-ability types.

- Anyone with less education than  $\bar{e} \longrightarrow low-ability$ .
- Anyone with  $\bar{e}$  or more education  $\longrightarrow$  high-ability.

The low-ability worker **will not obtain**  $\bar{e}$  if the low-ability wage exceeds the higher wage minus the cost of education:

$$250,\!000 > 350,\!000 - 30,\!000 imes ar{e} \ ar{e} > 3.33$$

#### Separating equilibrium

Employers can choose a level of education  $\bar{e}$  that separates low-ability types from high-ability types.

- Anyone with less education than  $\bar{e} \longrightarrow low-ability$ .
- Anyone with  $\bar{e}$  or more education  $\longrightarrow$  high-ability.

The high-ability worker **will obtain**  $\bar{e}$  if the high-ability wage minus the cost of education exceeds the low-ability wage:

$$350,\!000 - 20,\!000 imes ar{e} > 250,\!000 \ ar{e} < 5$$

#### **Separating equilibrium**

Employers can choose a level of education  $\bar{e}$  that separates low-ability types from high-ability types.

- Anyone with less education than  $\bar{e} \longrightarrow low-ability$ .
- Anyone with  $\bar{e}$  or more education  $\longrightarrow$  high-ability.

In our running example, the employer chooses 3.33 <  $\bar{e}$  < 5, which separates high-ability from lowability workers.

- High-ability workers get  $\bar{e}$  years of education and earn a lifetime salary of \$350,000.
- Low-ability workers get zero years of education and earn a lifetime salary of \$250,000.

#### **Implications?**

#### For the role of education?

- Under a pure signaling model, education is nothing more than a sorting mechanism.
- You professor's job? Make sure A's only go to high-ability students.

#### For economic efficiency?

- On the one hand, education is "wasteful" in the sense that it doesn't increase productivity.
- On the other hand, education reduces worker mismatch caused by asymmetric information.

#### **Implications?**

#### For you?

- Taking difficult classes can set you apart, even if you don't learn anything.
- Not all A's are created equal.
- Cheap talk on your résumé might not increase your odds of landing a job, at the margin.
  - You're a detail-oriented, self-motivated, team player? Sure.

## Sheepskin effects

The empirical relationship between earnings and years of education isn't smooth.

- There are significant "jumps" in average earnings where you'd expect them—12 years, 16 years, etc.
- Holding years of education constant, workers with a degree earn more than those without a degree, on average.<sup>2</sup>

That is, the simple act of having obtained that piece of paper—your degree—seems to matter a lot.

**Q:** Does this provide evidence for signaling?

**A:** It's debatable, though the more-convincing arguments suggest that sheepskin effects are not evidence of pure signaling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thomas Hungerford and Gary Solon (1987), Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education, The Review of Economics and Statistics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> David A. Jaeger and Marianne E. Page (1996), Degrees Matter: New Evidence on Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education, The Review of Economics and Statistics.

# Signaling vs. human capital

#### **Discussion**

**Q<sub>1</sub>:** Why do I make you take exams?

**Q<sub>2</sub>:** Why do we give gifts?

 $Q_3$ : What is the purpose of initiation rituals (e.g., to join a gang)?

## Housekeeping

**Problem Set 3** is due by **Monday, February 28th at 11:59pm**.

**Assigned reading for Wednesday:** Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination by Marianne Bertrand and Sendhil Mullainathan (2004).

- Reading Quiz 9 is due by Wednesday, March 2nd at 12pm (noon).
- The quiz instructions will include a reading guide.