## Multiple Linear Regression: Inference
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Prologue

## Housekeeping

- Problem Set 03 out - Due next Monday 11:59 p.m.
- Lab today \& Ex 06 due today
- Midterm next Wednesday
- Update on lecture09, Classical Assumption slides


## Review

Suppose that an economist studies the effect of years of schooling on hourly earnings by estimating

$$
\text { Earnings }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { Schooling }_{i}+u_{i},
$$

1. What do we have to assume to interpret $\beta_{1}$ as the true effect of schooling on earnings?
2. What omitted variables would bias the estimator of $\beta_{1}$ ?
3. For each omitted variable, how would you sign the bias?

## OLS Variances

## OLS Variances

Multiple regression model: $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1 i}+\beta_{2} X_{2 i}+\cdots+\beta_{m} X_{m i}+u_{i}$.
The variance of a slope estimator $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ on an independent variable $X_{j}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{j}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{j i}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}},
$$

where $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, R_{j}^{2}$ is the $R^{2}$ from a regression of $X_{j}$ on the other independent variables and an intercept, and $X_{j i}$ denotes $i^{\text {th }}$ observation of explanatory variable $X_{j}$.

## OLS Variances

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{j}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{j i}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}}
$$

## Moving parts

1. Error variance: As $\sigma^{2}$ increases, $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ increases.
2. Total variation in $X_{j}$ : As $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{j i}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}$ increases, $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ decreases.
3. Relationships between independent variables: As $R_{j}^{2}$ increases, $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ increases.

## Multicollinearity

Suppose that we want to understand the relationship between crime rates and poverty rates in US cities. We could estimate the model

$$
\operatorname{Crime}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { Poverty }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { Income }_{i}+u_{i},
$$

where Income $_{i}$ controls for median income in city $i$.
Before obtaining standard errors and conducting hypothesis tests, we need:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{1}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\text { Poverty }_{i}-\overline{\text { Poverty }}\right)^{2}}
$$

$R_{1}^{2}$ is the $R^{2}$ from a regression of poverty on median income:

$$
\text { Poverty }_{i}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \text { Income }_{i}+v_{i}
$$

## Multicollinearity

Scenario 1: If Income $_{i}$ explains most of the variation in Poverty ${ }_{i}$, then $R_{1}^{2}$ will approach one.

- If $R_{1}^{2}$ is one, then Poverty $_{i}$ and Income $_{i}$ are perfectly collinear (violates the no perfect collinearity assumption).

Scenario 2: If Income $_{i}$ explains none of the variation in Poverty ${ }_{i}$, then $R_{1}^{2}$ is zero.

Question: In which scenario is the variance of the poverty coefficient smaller?

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{1}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\text { Poverty }_{i}-\overline{\text { Poverty }}\right)^{2}}
$$

Answer: Scenario 2.

## Scenario 1



Scenario 2


## Multicollinearity

As the relationships between the variables increase, $R_{j}^{2}$ increases.
For high $R_{j}^{2}, \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ is large:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{j}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{j i}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}} .
$$

This phenomenon is known as multicollinearity.

- Some view multicollinearity as a "problem" to be solved.
- Can increase $n$ or drop independent variables that are highly related to the others.
- Warning: Dropping variables can generate omitted variable bias.


## Multicollinearity

Example: Effect of different types of school spending on high school graduation rates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Graduation }_{i}=\beta_{0} & +\beta_{1} \text { Salaries }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { Athletics }_{i} \\
& +\beta_{3} \text { Textbooks }_{i}+\beta_{4} \text { Facilities }_{i}+u_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Schools that spend more on teachers also tend to spend more on athletic programs, textbooks, and building maintenance.
- While total spending likely has a statistically significant effect on graduation rates, might not be able to detect statistically significant effects for individual line items.

Potential solutions: Re-define research question to consider the effect of total spending on graduation rates or gather more data to decrease OLS variances (i.e., increase $n$ ).

## Irrelevant Variables

Suppose that the true relationship between birth weight and in utero exposure to toxic air pollution is

$$
\left(\text { Birth Weight }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { Pollution }_{i}+u_{i} .\right.
$$

Suppose that, instead of estimating the "true model," an analyst estimates

$$
\left(\text { Birth Weight }_{i}=\tilde{\beta}_{0}+\tilde{\beta}_{1} \text { Pollution }_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{2} \mathrm{NBA}_{i}+u_{i},\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{NBA}_{i}$ is the record of the nearest NBA team during the season before birth.

One can show that $\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{\tilde{\beta}_{1}}\right)=\beta_{1}$ (i.e., $\hat{\tilde{\beta}}_{1}$ is unbiased).
However, the variances of $\hat{\tilde{\beta}_{1}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ differ.

## Irrelevant Variables



## Irrelevant Variables

The variance of $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ from estimating the "true model" is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\text { Pollution }_{i}-\overline{\text { Pollution }^{2}}\right.} .
$$

The variance of $\hat{\tilde{\beta}}_{1}$ from estimating the model with the irrelevant variable is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\tilde{\beta}}_{1}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(1-R_{1}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\text { Pollution }_{i}-\overline{\text { Pollution }^{2}}\right.} .
$$

Notice that $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta_{1}}\right) \leq \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\tilde{\beta}_{1}}\right)$.
Including irrelevant control variables can increase OLS variances!

## Estimating Error Variance

We cannot observe $\sigma^{2}$, so we must estimate it using the residuals from an estimated regression:

$$
s_{u}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i}^{2}}{n-k}
$$

- $k$ is the number of parameters (one "slope" for each $X$ variable and an intercept). When we have $m$ number of explanatory variables, then $k=m+1$, as we also have an intercept parameter.
- $n-k=$ degrees of freedom.
- Using the first 5 OLS assumptions, one can prove that $s_{u}^{2}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$.


## Standard Errors

The formula for the standard error is the square root of $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ :

$$
\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{s_{u}^{2}}{\left(1-R_{j}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{j i}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

## Inference

## OLS Classical Assumptions

- A1. Linearity: The population relationship is linear in parameters with an additive error term.
- A2. No perfect collinearity: No $X$ variable is a perfect linear combination of the others.
- A3. Exogeneity: The $X$ variable is exogenous (i.e., $\mathbb{E}(u \mid X)=0$ ).
- A4. Homoskedasticity: The error term has the same variance for each value of the independent variable (i.e., $\operatorname{Var}(u \mid X)=\sigma^{2}$ ).
- A5. Non-Autocorrelation: The values of error terms are independent from one another (i.e., $E\left[u_{i} u_{j}\right]=0, \forall i$ s.t. $i \neq j$ )
- A6. Normality: The population error term is normally distributed with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$ (i.e., $u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ )

1-3 imply unbiasedness.
4-5 imply efficiency.

## Normality

With the first five assumptions, normality buys us a sampling distribution for $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ :

- $\hat{\beta}_{j} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\beta_{j}, \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)\right)$
- $\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}} \sim \operatorname{Normal}(0,1)$

Common violations: autocorrelation and spatially correlated errors.

## Sampling Distribution

In practice, we can only estimate $\sigma^{2}$, so we use the $t$ distribution:

- $\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)} \sim t_{n-k}=t_{\mathrm{df}}$.
- Use this to construct $t$-statistics and conduct hypothesis testing.

Where are the critical values?

- Critical values describe specific quantiles of the $t_{\mathrm{df}}$ distribution.
- $t_{\mathrm{df}}$ is the entire sampling distribution.


## Hypothesis Testing

## Conduct a one-sided (right tail) test at the 5\% level.

```
lm(read4 ~ lexppp + lunch, data = meap01) %>% tidy()
#> # A tibble: 3 x 5
#> term estimate std.error statistic p.value
#> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
#> 1 (Intercept) -14.0 14.2 -0.989 3.23e- 1
\begin{tabular}{lllrl} 
\#> 2 & lexppp & 10.8 & 1.68 & 6.45 \\
\#> 3 lunch & -0.463 & 0.0136 & -33.9 & \(5.72 \mathrm{e}-196\)
\end{tabular}
H0: }\mp@subsup{\beta}{\mathrm{ Spend }}{}=0\mathrm{ vs. H}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{\textrm{a}}{}:\mp@subsup{\beta}{\mathrm{ Spend }}{}>
tstat
Reject H0 if tstat }=6.45>\mp@subsup{t}{0.95,1823-3}{}=1.65
```

Statement is true, so we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ level.

## Hypothesis Testing

## Conduct a one-sided (left tail) test at the 5\% level.

```
lm(read4 ~ lexppp + lunch, data = meap01) %>% tidy()
#> # A tibble: 3 x 5
#> term estimate std.error statistic p.value
#> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
#> 1 (Intercept) -14.0 14.2 -0.989 3.23e- 1
\begin{tabular}{lllrl} 
\#> 2 lexppp & 10.8 & 1.68 & 6.45 & \(1.40 \mathrm{e}-10\) \\
\#> 3 lunch & -0.463 & 0.0136 & -33.9 & \(5.72 \mathrm{e}-196\)
\end{tabular}
H0: }\mp@subsup{\beta}{\mathrm{ Spend }}{}=0\mathrm{ vs. H}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{\textrm{a}}{}:\mp@subsup{\beta}{\mathrm{ Spend }}{}<
tstat
Reject H0 if t tstat }=6.45<-\mp@subsup{t}{0.95,1823-3}{}=-1.65
```

Statement is false, so we fail to reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ level.

## Hypothesis Testing

## Conduct a two-sided test at the $5 \%$ level.



Statement is true, so we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ level.

## Hypothesis Testing

## Conduct a two-sided test at the $5 \%$ level.

| \#> \# A tibble: $3 \times 5$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#> term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value |
| \#> <chr> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> |
| \#> 1 (Intercept) | -14.0 | 14.2 | -0.989 | 3.23e- 1 |
| \#> 2 lexppp | 10.8 | 1.68 | 6.45 | 1.40e- 10 |
| \#> 3 lunch | -0.463 | 0.0136 | -33.9 | $5.72 \mathrm{e}-196$ |

$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {Lunch }}=-1$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{\text {Lunch }} \neq-1$
$t_{\text {stat }}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{\text {Lunch }}-\beta_{\text {Lunch }}^{0}}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{\beta}_{\text {Lunch }}\right)}=39.49$ and $t_{0.975,1823-3}=1.96$
Reject $H_{0}$ if $\left|t_{\text {stat }}\right|=|39.49|>t_{0.975,1823-3}=1.96$.

Statement is true, so we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ level.

## F Tests

$t$ tests allow us to test simple hypotheses involving a single parameter.

- e.g., $\beta_{1}=0$ or $\beta_{2}=1$.

F tests allow us to test hypotheses that involve multiple parameters.

- e.g., $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$ or $\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}=1$.


## F Tests

## Example

Economists often say that "money is fungible."
We might want to test whether money received as income actually has the same effect on consumption as money received from tax credits.

Consumption $_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}$ Income $_{i}+\beta_{2}$ Credit $_{i}+u_{i}$

## F Tests

## Example, continued

We can write our null hypothesis as

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2} \Longleftrightarrow H_{0}: \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}=0
$$

Imposing the null hypothesis gives us a restricted model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Consumption }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \operatorname{Income}_{i}+\beta_{1} \operatorname{Credit}_{i}+u_{i} \\
& \text { Consumption }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\left(\operatorname{Income}_{i}+\operatorname{Credit}_{i}\right)+u_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## F Tests

## Example, continued

To test the null hypothesis $H_{o}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$ against $H_{a}: \beta_{1} \neq \beta_{2}$, we use the $F$ statistic

$$
F_{q, n-k}=\frac{\left(\operatorname{RSS}_{r}-\operatorname{RSS}_{u}\right) / q}{\operatorname{RSS}_{u} /(n-k)}
$$

which (as its name suggests) follows the $F$ distribution with $q$ numerator degrees of freedom and $n-k$ denominator degrees of freedom.

Here, $q$ is the number of restrictions we impose via $H_{0}$.

## F Tests

## Example, continued

The term $\mathrm{RSS}_{r}$ is the sum of squared residuals (RSS) from our restricted model

$$
\text { Consumption }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\left(\operatorname{Income}_{i}+\operatorname{Credit}_{i}\right)+u_{i}
$$

and $\mathrm{RSS}_{u}$ is the sum of squared residuals (RSS) from our unrestricted model

Consumption $_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}$ Income $_{i}+\beta_{2}$ Credit $_{i}+u_{i}$

## F Tests

Finally, we compare our $F$-statistic to a critical value of $F$ to test the null hypothesis.

If $F>F_{\text {crit }}$, then reject the null hypothesis at the $\alpha \times 100$ percent level.

- Find $F_{\text {crit }}$ in a table using the desired significance level, numerator degrees of freedom, and denominator degrees of freedom.

Aside: Why are $F$-statistics always positive?

## F Tests

RSS is usually a large cumbersome number.
Alternative: Calculate the $F$-statistic using $R^{2}$.

$$
F=\frac{\left(R_{u}^{2}-R_{r}^{2}\right) / q}{\left(1-R_{u}^{2}\right) /(n-k)}
$$

Where does this come from?

- $\mathrm{TSS}=\mathrm{RSS}+\mathrm{ESS}$
- $R^{2}=\mathrm{ESS} / \mathrm{TSS}$
- $\mathrm{RSS}_{r}=\operatorname{TSS}\left(1-R_{r}^{2}\right)$
- $\operatorname{RSS}_{u}=\operatorname{TSS}\left(1-R_{u}^{2}\right)$


## Application: Hedonic Modeling

## Hedonic Modeling

## Questions

- How much are home buyers willing to pay for houses with additional bedrooms?
- How much salary are workers willing to give up in exchange for safer working conditions?
- What is the market value of my neighbor's house?


## Answers?

Hedonic modeling is a specific application of multiple regression.

- Prices or wages on the left hand side.
- Attributes of a good or a job on the right-hand side.
- Use coefficient estimates and fitted values.


## Hedonic Modeling

## Example

Using data on home sales, you run a regression and obtain the fitted model

$$
\text { Price }_{i}=75000+50 \cdot(\text { Sq. ft. })_{i}+16000 \cdot \text { Bedrooms }_{i}+10000 \cdot \text { Bathrooms }_{i}
$$

What is the forecasted price of a 1000-square-foot house with 1 bedroom and 1 bathroom?

$$
\text { Price }=75000+50 \cdot(1000)+16000 \cdot(1)+10000 \cdot(1)=1.51 \times 10^{5}
$$

A homeowner is thinking about adding 1500 square feet to their home with 3 more bedrooms and an additional bathroom. How much extra money could she expect if she completed the addition and sold her home?

$$
\Delta \text { Price }=50 \cdot(1500)+16000 \cdot(3)+10000 \cdot(1)=1.33 \times 10^{5}
$$

